

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/757,610	01/14/2004	Koji Noguchi	09792909-5758	4808
26263 7	7590 07/18/2006	4	EXAM	INER
SONNENSC	HEIN NATH & ROSEN	VU. PHU		
P.O. BOX 061 WACKER DR	080 IVE STATION, SEARS TO	OWER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	60606-1080	,	2871	

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/757,610	NOGUCHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phu Vu	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma eply within the statutory minimum o d will apply and will expire SIX (6)	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	3 April 2006.				
CL) 57 T	his action is non-final.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2D ☐ 1 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow		natters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in at	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the dra	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the atta	iched Office Action or form P10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S	i.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1 X Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received	l.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received	I in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have	been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copie	s not received.			
Attachment(s)	4\ □ Into	rview Summary (PTO-413)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	R) Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	B/08) 5) <u></u> Noti	ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:			

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyachi 6211937.

Regarding claims 1, Miyachi teaches a liquid crystal panel comprising: a driving substrate (see fig. 8); pixels (see fig. 8) on a surface of the driving substrate, each of the pixels including a pixel electrode (4) and a transistor (1) connected to the pixel electrode; signal lines (3) and scanning lines (2) connected to the transistor; an alignment film being rubbed in a substantially parallel (see element 6 and column 9 lines 1-16) to the signal lines; a counter substrate (not shown in figs see column 2 lines 55-60 while this refers to a first embodiment of the invention subsequent embodiments ie fig. 8 only change the positioning of the spacer and the counter substrate remains unchanged) provided adjacent to the alignment film; a liquid crystal layer provided between the driving substrate and the counter substrate; and at least one projection (5) in each pixel provided at a substantially central position relative to two opposite

Art Unit: 2871

boundaries of the corresponding pixel, the two opposite boundaries being parallel to the rubbing direction.

Regarding claim 2, the projection in each pixel is provided at a position between the start and center in the rubbing direction, and excluding the center (see fig. 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim is 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyachi in view of Miura et. al US Patent No. 5877836.

Regarding claim 3, Miyachi teaches all the limitations of claim 3 except, a pre-tilt angle of 4 to 20 degrees. Miura teaches a pretilt angle of 1-20 degrees and optimally 10-20 degrees to provide an effective optical modulation region in the display region of the liquid crystal display (see column 18 lines 19-25). The MPEP section 2411.05 [R-1] states: in the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a prima facie case of obviousness exists. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a pretilt angle of 4-20 degrees to provide an effective optical modulation region in the display region.

Claim is 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyachi in view of Sakamoto 6853421.

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claim 4, Miyachi teaches all the limitations of claim 4 except, a reflective region and transmissive region provided in that order in the rubbing direction. Sakamoto discloses transflective displays, which were developed to gain benefits of lower power consumption of transmissive displays and secure visibility of independently of surrounding environments (see column 1 lines 43-58). Sakamoto discloses an arranging the reflection region over the TFT region to lower contact resistance between transmissive and reflection electrodes thereby providing a more accurately controlled voltage of the liquid crystal layer (see fig. 6 and column 11 lines 35-45). Since Miyachi's device applies a rubbing direction starting a gate line near the TFT toward another gate line than arranging the reflection region according to Sakamoto leads to an arrangement where the reflection region and transmissive region are provided in that order in rubbing direction. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply a reflective region and transmissive region in that order in the rubbing direction to provide a low power consumption of displays which secures visibility of independently of surrounding environments and provide a more accurately controlled voltage of the liquid crystal layer.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyachi in further view of Kaise et. al. US Patent No. 6788372.

Regarding claim 5, Miyachi discloses all the limitations of claim 5, except walls extending along borders in a direction substantially perpendicular to the rubbing direction. Kaise teaches walls (fig. 7 element 18) extending along borders in a direction of the gate line which is perpendicular to Miyachi's rubbing direction to provided to

Art Unit: 2871

precisely adjust the gap between the substrates (see column 13 lines 7-5). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form walls extending along borders in a direction of the gate line to provided to precisely adjust the gap between the substrates.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phu Vu Examiner AU 2871

> ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER